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## Theorem (Bismut-S.-Wei, 2021)

(1) There is a Chern character $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}: K(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{BC}}(X, \mathbf{R})$.
(2) $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}$ satisfies RRG formula for arbitrary holomorphic map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, i.e., the diagram commutes

equivalently $\operatorname{Td}_{\mathrm{BC}}(T Y) \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}\left(f_{!} \mathcal{F}\right)=f_{*}\left(\operatorname{Td}_{\mathrm{BC}}(T X) \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}(\mathcal{F})\right)$.

Chern-Weil theory
Chern character and coherent sheaves Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck

## Bott-Chern cohomology

Holomorphic vector bundles
Complex of holomorphic vector bundles

## de Rham cohomology

## - $\Omega^{k}(X, \mathbf{R})$ : smooth $k$-forms.

- $d \cdot \Omega \bullet(\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{P}), \Omega^{\bullet}+1(\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{P})$. de Rham operator.
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## Definition (de Rham)

$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{p}(X, \mathbf{R})=\operatorname{ker} d \cap \Omega^{p}(X, \mathbf{R}) / d \Omega^{p-1}(X, \mathbf{R})$.
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## Definition (Bott-Chern)

$$
H_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p, q}(X, \mathbf{C})=\operatorname{ker} d \cap \Omega^{p, q}(X, \mathbf{C}) / \bar{\partial} \partial \Omega^{p-1, q-1}(X, \mathbf{C}) .
$$
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- If $X$ is Kähler, $\oplus_{p+q=k} H_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p, q}(X, \mathbf{C}) \simeq H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{k}(X, \mathbf{C})$.
- In general, $H_{\mathrm{BC}}(X, \mathbf{C}) \not \not 千 H_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, \mathbf{C})$ (e.g. Iwasawa manifold, Hopf manifold).
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## Theorem (Koszul-Malgrange, Newlander-Nirenberg)

A smooth vector bundle $D$ is holomorphic iff there is $\nabla^{D \prime \prime}: \Omega^{0, \bullet}(X, D) \rightarrow \Omega^{0, \bullet+1}(X, D)$ with Leibniz rule and $\left(\nabla^{D \prime \prime}\right)^{2}=0$.
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- $\left(D, \nabla^{D \prime \prime}\right)$ : holomorphic vector bundle.
- $h^{D}$ : Hermitian metric on $D$.
- $\nabla^{D}=\nabla^{D \prime \prime}+\nabla^{D \prime}$ : Chern connection. (Unique unitary connection whose antiholomorphic part is given by the holomorphic structure. )
- We have

$$
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- $R^{D}=\left(\nabla^{D}\right)^{2} \in \Omega^{1,1}(X, \operatorname{End}(D))$.
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## Theorem (Chern-Weil, Bott-Chern)
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## Remark

$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{dR}}(D)=\left[\operatorname{ch}\left(D, \nabla^{D \prime \prime}, h^{D}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{dR}} \in H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{\text {even }}(X, \mathbf{R})$ is a topological invariant.

## $c_{1, \mathrm{BC}} \mathrm{VS} c_{1, \mathrm{dR}}$

## Example

(1) Hopf surface: $X=\mathbf{C}^{2} \backslash\{0\} / \mathbf{Z}$ where $n \cdot\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=2^{n}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$.
(2) $X \simeq_{\text {diffeo }} S^{3} \times S^{1}, H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2}(X, \mathbf{R})=0$ and $c_{1, \mathrm{dR}}(T X)=0$.
(3) $\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,1}(X, \mathbf{R})=1$ and $c_{1, \mathrm{BC}}(T X) \neq 0$.
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## Theorem (Bismut-Gillet-Soulé)

(1) $\operatorname{ch}\left(D, A^{\prime \prime}, h^{D}\right) \in \oplus_{p} \Omega^{p, p}(X, \mathbf{R})$ is d-closed.
(2) $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}\left(D, A^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left[\operatorname{ch}\left(D, A^{\prime \prime}, h^{D}\right)\right] \in \oplus_{p} H_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p, p}(X, \mathbf{R})$ is independent of $h^{D}$.
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## Theorem
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- In general, $\left(E_{U}, v_{U}\right)$ exists only locally. (Voisin: a generic torus of dimension $\geq 3$ ).
- $K^{\bullet}(X)<K(X)$ subgroup.
- If $X$ is projective, $K^{\bullet}(X)=K(X)$.
- In general, $K^{\bullet}(X) \neq K(X)$.
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(3) Is $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}$ compatible with the direct image associated to $f: X \rightarrow Y$ (RRG)?
Bismut-S.-Wei 2021: yes !

## Remark

- Grothendieck 1956: $X, Y$ are projective and ch with taking in Chow groups.
- Atiyah-Hirzebruch 1962: ch taking values in $H_{\mathrm{dR}}$ and RRG for immersion.
- Green, O'Brian, Toledo, Tong, Levy... 1980~1990.
- Grivaux (2010) : ch taking values in $H_{\text {Deligne }}(X, \mathbf{Q})$ and RRG for projective morphism.
- Wu (2020) : ch taking values in $H_{\mathrm{BC}}(X, \mathbf{Q})$ and RRG for projective morphism.
- Grivaux's unicity theorem: all the constructions of Chern Character are compatible.
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## Definition (Quillen 85, Block 2010)

$A^{\prime \prime}: \Omega^{0 \bullet}\left(X, D^{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow\left[\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, D^{\bullet}\right)\right]^{+1}$ of total degree 1 is called an antiholomorphic superconnection, if
(1) $A^{\prime \prime}=v_{0}+\nabla^{D \prime \prime}+v_{2}+\ldots$ where $v_{i} \in \Omega^{0, i}\left(X, \operatorname{End}^{1-i}(D)\right)$ and
$\nabla^{D \prime \prime}$ antiholo. part of some connection,
(2) $\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=0$.

## An example

If $v_{2}=v_{3}=\ldots=0$, then

$$
\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=0 \Longleftrightarrow v_{0}^{2}=0,\left[\nabla^{D \prime \prime}, v_{0}\right]=0,\left(\nabla^{D \prime \prime}\right)^{2}=0
$$

By Koszul-Malgrange/Newlander-Nirenberg, $\left(D, v_{0}\right)$ is a complex of holomorphic vector bundles.
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## Proof of RRG: strategy

- Write $f=\pi \circ i$ where
- $i: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ (graph of $f$ ) immersion.
- $\pi: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ projection.
- Thanks to $f_{!}=\pi_{!} i_{!}$and $f_{*}=\pi_{*} i_{*}$, we need only to show the following two diagrams commute.
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Deform an immersion $X \rightarrow Y$ to an other immersion

$$
X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(N_{X \times \infty / Y \times \mathbf{P}^{1}}\right) .
$$
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- $\pi: M=X \times S \rightarrow S$.
- Assume $\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{E} \bullet\left(D^{\bullet}, A^{\prime \prime}\right) \in K(M)$.
- We need to show

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}(\pi!\mathscr{F})=\int_{X} \operatorname{Td}_{\mathrm{BC}}(T X) \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}\left(D^{\bullet}, A^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { in } H_{\mathrm{BC}}(S, \mathbf{R})
$$

- $\mathcal{D}^{\bullet}=\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X,\left.D^{\bullet}\right|_{X}\right)$ : infinite dimensional Z-graded vector bundle on $S$.
- $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(S, \mathcal{D}^{\bullet}\right)=\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(M, D^{\bullet}\right)$.
- Antiholomorphic superconnection $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}=A^{\prime \prime}$.
- $\pi!\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{E}^{\bullet}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\bullet}, \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
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## Proof.

spectral truncation + fibrewise Hodge theory.
Byproduct: a new proof of Grauert's theorem.
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\end{aligned}
$$

- $S$ general : family index theory of Atiyah-Singer implies RRG in $H_{\mathrm{dR}}$.
- To get RRG in $H_{\mathrm{BC}}$, we need the local family index theorem.
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- If $\bar{\partial}^{X} \partial^{X} \omega^{X}=0$, by local family index theorem, as $t \rightarrow 0$, $\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}, g^{D}, g^{T X} / t\right) \rightarrow$ some limit in $\Omega(S, \mathbf{R})$

$$
\equiv \int_{X} \operatorname{Td}_{\mathrm{BC}}(T X) \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}\left(D, A^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { in } H_{\mathrm{BC}}(S, \mathbf{R}) .
$$

- If $\bar{\partial}^{X} \partial^{X} \omega^{X} \neq 0$, there are some divergence terms after Getzler's rescaling.
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- $\mathcal{X}=T X . Y \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{X}, \pi^{*} T X\right)$.

- $i: X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by zero section.
- Dolbeault-Koszul: $i_{!} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathscr{E}^{\bullet}\left(\pi^{*} \Lambda^{\bullet}\left(T^{*} X\right), \bar{\partial}^{\mathcal{X}}+i_{Y}\right)$.
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- $i!\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ are expected to have the same direct image on $S$.
- $i_{!} \mathscr{F}=\mathscr{E}^{\bullet}\left(\underline{\pi}^{*}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*} X\right) \widehat{\otimes} D\right), \underline{\pi}^{*} A^{\prime \prime}+i_{Y}\right)$.
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- We get non degenerate Hermitian form on $\mathcal{D}$.
- $\mathcal{A}_{Y}=\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{\prime \prime}+\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{\prime}, \mathcal{A}_{Y}^{2}$ is hypoelliptic,
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## Theorem

(1) $\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{\prime \prime}, g^{D}, g^{T X}, \omega^{X}\right) \in \oplus_{p} \Omega^{p, p}(S, \mathbf{R})$ and d-closed
(2) $\left[\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{\prime \prime}, g^{D}, g^{T X}, \omega^{X}\right)\right] \in H_{\mathrm{BC}}(S, \mathbf{R})$ is independent of $g^{D}, g^{T X}, \omega^{X}$.
(3) $\left[\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{\prime \prime}, g^{D}, g^{T X}, \omega^{X}\right)\right]=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{BC}}(\pi!\mathscr{F}) \in H_{\mathrm{BC}}(S, \mathbf{R})$.

## Proof.

Part 3 is based on the fact that the hypoelliptic curvature $\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{2}$ can be deformed to the elliptic curvature $\mathcal{A}^{2}$. As $b \rightarrow 0$, we have (Bismut-Lebeau 08)

$$
\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{\prime \prime}, g^{D}, b^{4} g^{T X}, \omega^{X}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}, g^{D}, g^{T X}\right) \text { in } \Omega(S, \mathbf{R}) .
$$
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- If $\bar{\partial}^{X} \partial^{X} \omega^{X}=0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$,
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- If we replace $\omega^{X}$ by $|Y|^{2} \omega^{X}$ in the construction, as $t \rightarrow 0$, (3.2) $\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{A}_{Y}^{\prime \prime}, g^{D}, g^{T X} / t^{3},|Y|^{2} \omega^{X}\right) \rightarrow \int_{X} \operatorname{Td}\left(T X, g^{T X}\right) \operatorname{ch}\left(D, A^{\prime \prime}, g^{D}\right)$, without any assumption!
- The associated hypoelliptic Laplacians are
(1) case (3.1): $-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{V}+|t Y|^{2}+t^{1 / 2} \nabla_{t Y^{H}}+i \partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{X} / t+\ldots$.
(2) case (3.2): $-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{V}+\left|t^{3 / 4} Y\right|^{4}+t^{3 / 4} \nabla_{t^{3 / 4} Y^{H}}+i \partial \bar{\partial}|Y|^{2} \omega^{X}+\ldots$.
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